arXiv:1408.7100v1 [math.AC] 29 Aug 2014

THE SATURATION OF FROBENIUS POWERS OF IDEALS

Craig Huneke

Abstract. Some special cases are proved in which localization commutes with tight closure.

Dedicated to Robin Hartshorne on the occasion of his 60th birthday

1. Introduction

The work of Robin Hartshorne includes many papers which analyzed and charted the structure of local cohomology modules. Among these papers were those which studied local cohomology in positive characteristic. In 1977, Robin Hartshorne and Robert Speiser [HS] wrote a ground-breaking paper on the action of Frobenius on local cohomology. Now over twenty years later, this Frobenius action, while still not completely understood, plays a pivotal and crucial role in the theory of tight closure, especially in its relationship to the classification of singularities via the action of Frobenius (cf. [Sm2, Ha, HaW, MS, Wa1,2]). One of the frustrating problems in the theory of tight closure has been our inability to decide whether tight closure commutes with localization. This question is closely related to understanding the local cohomology of Frobenius powers of modules. In fact localization would be proved if one could show that a certain set of associated primes is finite, and prove either condition (LC^*) or (LC) as in Definition 1.2 below. See [Ka1, Hu1, Chapter 12]. Condition (LC) concerns the saturation of the Frobenius powers of an ideal.

We begin by stating relevant definitions. For more references and information about tight closure we refer the reader to [HH1, Hu1], and for survey articles on this topic to [Br,Sm1]. The phrase "characteristic p" always means positive and prime characteristic p. We will use 'q' throughout this paper to denote a variable power of the characteristic p. Tight closure is a method which requires reduction to characteristic p, although the theory has now been developed for any Noetherian ring containing a field.

We set R^o to be the set of all elements of R not in any minimal prime of R. The definition of tight closure for ideals is:

Definition 1.1. Let R be a Noetherian ring of characteristic $p > 0$. Let I be an ideal of R. An element $x \in R$ is said to be in the tight closure of I if there exists an element $c \in R^o$ such that for all large $q = p^e$, $cx^q \in I^{[q]}$, where $I^{[q]}$ is the ideal generated by the qth powers of all elements of I.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13D40,13A30,13H10.

The author thanks the NSF for partial support. I thank Yongwei Yao for many valuable comments.

One of the main open problems about tight closure is whether it commutes with localization. New impetus has been given to study this problem in the graded case by the work of Gennady Lyubeznik and Karen Smith [LS]. Studying the localization problem leads naturally to the following definition:

Definition 1.2. Let R be a graded ring over a field R_0 of positive characteristic p with irrelevant ideal $\mathbf{m} = \oplus_{i\geq 1} R_i$. Set $R_{\geq N}$ to be the ideal generated by all forms of degree at least N. Let I be a homogeneous ideal of R. We say that I *satisfies condition* (LC) if there is a constant N such that for all q,

$$R_{\geq Nq} \cdot H^0_{\mathbf{m}}(R/I^{[q]}) = 0.$$

We say that I *satisfies condition* (LC^*) if there is a constant N such that for all q,

$$R_{\geq Nq} \cdot H^0_{\mathbf{m}}(R/(I^{[q]})^*) = 0.$$

We can similarly define these conditions for a local Noetherian ring $(R, \mathbf{m})$, by replacing $R_{\geq Nq}$ by $\mathbf{m}^{Nq}$ in the definitions.

Observe that we can rephrase these conditions in terms of the saturation of an ideal. Let R be as in Definition 1.2, and let I be an ideal of R. The *saturation* of I (with respect to $\mathbf{m}$), denoted $I^{\rm sat}$, is the ideal $I^{\rm sat} = \{r \in R |\ \mathbf{m}^n r \subseteq I \text{ for some n}\}$. With this definition, I satisfies (LC) iff there exists a constant N such that for all q, $R_{\geq Nq} \cdot (I^{[q]})^{\rm sat} \subseteq I^{[q]}$, and satisfies condition (LC^*) iff there exists a constant N such that for all q, $R_{\geq Nq} \cdot ((I^{[q]})^*)^{\rm sat} \subseteq (I^{[q]})^*$.

A priori there is no relationship between the conditions (LC) and (LC^*). If R has an isolated singularity then the theory of test elements [HH2] proves there is an $\mathbf{m}$-primary ideal τ such that $\tau \cdot (I^{[q]})^* \subseteq I^{[q]}$ for all q and all ideals I, and in this case it is obvious that I satisfies (LC) iff it satisfies $(LC)^*$. In general, however, we do not know the precise relationship between the two properties. As far as we know all homogeneous ideals I satisfy both of these two conditions. These conditions play a critical role in the question of whether the tight closure of I commutes with localization [AHH, Kat1,2]. The paper [Hu2] will give necessary and sufficient conditions for tight closure to localize which involve slightly weaker conditions.

In this paper we will prove a condition relating whether a homogeneous ideal $I = (J, y)$ satisfies condition (LC) (respectively (LC^*)) to whether J satisfies condition (LC) (respectively (LC^*)). This is done when $J : y$ has large enough codimension. We are then able to use this theorem to give several new cases in which tight closure commutes with localization. See Corollary 3.2, Corollary 3.7, and Corollary 3.8. Corollary 3.2 recovers a recent result of Adela Vraciu [V] with a much different proof.

Remark 1.3. One fact we will use without further comment is that if R is graded and I is homogeneous, then the tight closure of I is also homogeneous, [HH3, (4.2)]. In particular if I is homogeneous, then the associated primes of $(I^{[q]})^*$ are homogeneous for all q.

We will also need to use the existence of test elements. From [HH3, (3.6) and (4.2)] it follows that if R is graded there exists a homogeneous element $c \in R^o$ such that for all

ideals I and all $x \in I^*$, $cx^q \in I^{[q]}$ for all $q >> 0$. If R is further assumed to be a domain, then we can choose $c \neq 0$ and homogeneous such that $x \in I^*$ iff for all q, $cx^q \in I^{[q]}$.

2. The Main Theorem

In this section we prove our main result, which is a criterion for an ideal $I = J + Ry$ to satisfy either (LC) or (LC^*) in terms of properties of J and $J : y$. We will use this criterion in the next section to give our main applications. Before stating the theorem, some elementary lemmas on graded rings are helpful.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $(R, \mathbf{m})$ be a Noetherian graded ring over a field $R_0 = K$. There exists a constant L such that for all nonnegative integers N, M,*

$$R_{\geq(N+M+L)} \subseteq R_{\geq N} \cdot R_{\geq M}.$$

In particular, for all $n \geq L$, $R_{\geq(N+M+1)n} \subseteq R_{\geq Nn} \cdot R_{\geq Mn}$. In addition for all n, $R_{\geq(N+M+L)n} \subseteq R_{\geq Nn} \cdot R_{\geq Mn}$

Proof. . By choosing a system of parameters of the same degree d, one sees that R is module finite over the subring A generated by these parameters. Observe that A is generated by forms of the same degree d so that $A_{sd} = (A_d)^s$. Say the module generators of R over A can be chosen to be of degrees at most k. We claim that $L = k + 2d$ satisfies the statement of the Lemma. Consider the graded piece $R_{N+M+2d+k+i}$ for some $i \geq 0$. Set $m = N + M + 2d + k + i$. We can write this piece as $\oplus_s R_{m-sd}A_{sd}$ for integers s such that $m - sd \leq k$. Set $p = \lceil \frac{N}{d} \rceil$ and $q = \lceil \frac{M}{d} \rceil$ Then $N + M \leq pd + qd < N + M + 2d$. Hence $sd \geq m - k \geq N + M + 2d > pd + qd$, so that $s \geq p + q$. Then $R_{m-sd}A_{sd} = R_{m-sd}(A_d)^s \subseteq R_{\geq(m-sd)}(A_d)^p(A_d)^q \subseteq R_{\geq N} \cdot R_{\geq M}$. The last two statements follow immediately. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let $(R, \mathbf{m})$ be a Noetherian graded ring over a field $R_0 = K$ of positive characteristic p. Let J be an arbitrary homogeneous ideal and z a form of degree k such that $\sqrt{(J, z)} = \mathbf{m}$. Then there exist constants N_1, N_2 such that for all $q = p^e$ and all integers l,*

$$R_{\geq(N_1 q + N_2 lkq)} \subseteq J^{[q]} + (z^{N_2 lq}).$$

Proof. Choose homogeneous generators $x_1, ..., x_n$ of J, and choose powers $x_1^{a_1}, ..., x_n^{a_n}$ and z^{N_2} such that the degree of all of these elements is the same, namely $N_2 k$. Clearly it suffices replace the x_i by these powers and z by z^{N_2}, call their common degree d, and then prove there exists a single constant N such that

$$R_{\geq(Nq+ldq)} \subseteq J^{[q]} + (z^{lq}).$$

Let $A = K[x_1, ..., x_n, z]$ be the graded subring of R generated by the given elements. Because $(x_1, ..., x_n, z)$ is $\mathbf{m}$-primary, R is a finite A-module and there exist a finite set of generators up to degree L. Consider R_{M+L}. This piece is contained in $\sum_{i=0}^{L} R_i A_{M+L-i} \subseteq A_M R$. Set $M = nqd + qld$. Then we see that $R_{\geq(nqd+lqd+L)} \subseteq A_{\geq(nqd+lqd)}R = (x_1, ..., x_n, z)^{nq+lq}R \subseteq (x_1, ..., x_n)^{nq}R + z^{lq}R \subseteq J^{[q]} + z^{lq}R$. Setting $N_1 = nd + L$ then gives the statement of the Lemma. □

Theorem 2.3. *Let $(R, \mathbf{m})$ be a Noetherian graded ring of dimension d over a field $R_0 = K$ of positive characteristic p. Let $J = (x_1, ..., x_n)$ be a homogeneous ideal and let y be a form of degree e. Set $I = J + Ry$. Assume that height$(J : y) \geq d - 1$.*

(1) *If J satisfies (LC) then I satisfies (LC).*
(2) *If J satisfies (LC^*), then I satisfies (LC^*).*

Proof. We begin with (1). Choose $z \in \mathbf{m}$ general of degree k. For some fixed N, $R_{\geq Nq} \cdot (J^{[q]})^{\rm sat} \subseteq J^{[q]}$ for all q. For a fixed q and all large L, $(I^{[q]})^{\rm sat} = I^{[q]} : z^{Lq}$. Consider the short exact sequence,

(2.4)
$$0 \to ((J^{[q]} : z^{Lq}) + Ry^q)/I^{[q]} \to (I^{[q]} : z^{Lq})/I^{[q]} \to (I^{[q]} : z^{Lq})/((J^{[q]} : z^{Lq}) + Ry^q) \to 0$$

The first term in this short exact sequence is killed by $R_{\geq Nq}$ by our assumption, since $(J^{[q]} : z^{Lq}) \subseteq (J^{[q]})^{\rm sat}$. Consider the last term. It is isomorphic with

$$((J^{[q]}, z^{Lq}) : y^q)/((J^{[q]} : y^q) + Rz^{Lq}). \tag{2.5}$$

This last isomorphism follows by moding out $J^{[q]}$ and applying the fact that in general for elements a, b in a ring there is an isomorphism

$$(a : b)/((0 : b) + (a)) \cong (b : a)/((0 : a) + (b)).$$

Since $(J : y)^{[q]} \subseteq (J^{[q]} : y^q)$, we know that $(J : y)^{[q]} + Rz^{Lq}$ is contained in the denominator ideal of (2.5). By Lemma 2.2 there exists constants N_1, N_2 such that for all l and all $q = p^e$,

$$R_{\geq (N_1 q + N_2 lkq)} \subseteq (J : y)^{[q]} + Rz^{N_2 lq}.$$

We claim that for all l and all q, $R_{\geq (N_1+e)q}$ annihilates the module (2.5), with $L = N_2 l$. Suppose that $r \in (J^{[q]}, z^{N_2 lq}) : y^q$ is homogeneous. If the degree of r is at least $(N_2 lk - e)q$, then $R_{\geq (N_1+e)q} r \subseteq R_{\geq (N_1 q + N_2 lkq)} \subseteq (J : y)^{[q]} + Rz^{N_2 lq} \subseteq ((J^{[q]} : y^q) + Rz^{N_2 lq})$ and so the coset of r in (2.5) is 0. If $\deg(r) < (N_2 l - e)q$, then writing $ry^q = w + vz^{N_2 lq}$ with $w \in J^{[q]}$ we see that v may be chosen to be 0 since the degree of the term $vz^{N_2 lq}$ is larger than the degree of ry^q. Then $ry^q \in J^{[q]}$ and again the coset of r is 0.

It follows that $R_{\geq (N_1+e)q} \cdot R_{\geq Nq}$ annihilates the middle module of the sequence (2.4) for all l, with $L = N_2 l$. By choosing $l >> 0$, we can identify the middle module with $(I^{[q]})^{\rm sat}/I^{[q]}$. By Lemma 2.1, there exists another constant K such that $R_{\geq Kq} \subseteq R_{\geq (N_1+k)q} \cdot R_{\geq Nq}$ for all q, which finishes the proof of the (1).

The proof of (2) is similar but somewhat tricky. Let N be chosen so that $R_{\geq Nq}((J^{[q]})^*)^{\rm sat} \subseteq (J^{[q]})^*$ for all q, and let N' be chosen so that for all q $R_{\geq (N'q + lqk)} \subseteq (J : y)^{[q]} + Rz^{lq}$ for some suitable power z (of degree k) of a general form. This is possible by Lemma 2.2 and the assumption that the height of $J : y$ is at least $d - 1$.

We claim that $R_{\geq Nq} \cdot R_{\geq (N'q+eq)}((I^{[q]})^*)^{\rm sat} \subseteq (I^{[q]})^*$. To prove this claim, let $r \in ((I^{[q]})^*)^{\rm sat}, u \in R_{\geq (N'q+eq)}$, and $v \in R_{\geq Nq}$ be arbitrary homogeneous elements. Let z be

as in the above paragraph. For some $l >> 0$, $z^{lq}r \in (I^{[q]})^*$. By Remark 1.3 there exists a homogeneous element $c \in R^o$ such that for all $q' >> 0$ there exist homogeneous elements $s_{qq'}$ with

$$cr^{q'}z^{lqq'} \in J^{[qq']} + s_{qq'}y^{qq'}.$$

First suppose that the term $s_{qq'}y^{qq'}$ does not appear for infinitely many q'. Then $cr^{q'}z^{lqq'} \in J^{[qq']}$ for all such q' which implies that $rz^{lq} \in (J^{[q]})^*$ [Hu1, Exercise 2.2] (this is the local case, but the proof given in the Appendix of [Hu1] applies equally well to the graded case). Then $r \in ((J^{[q]})^*)^{\text{sat}}$, and $vr \in (J^{[q]})^* \subseteq (I^{[q]})^*$. A fortiori $uvr \in (I^{[q]})^*$ which proves our claim. We may therefore assume that the term $s_{qq'}y^{qq'}$ appears for all large q'. In this case $\deg(s_{qq'}) = \deg(cr^{q'}z^{lqq'}) - \deg(y^{qq'}) = \deg(c) + q'\deg(r) + lqq'k - eqq'$. If we multiply by $u^{q'}$ we obtain that $\deg(u^{q'}s_{qq'}) \geq N'qq' + lqq'k$, and hence $u^{q'}s_{qq'} \in (J : y)^{[qq']} + Rz^{lqq'}$. It follows that $u^{q'}s_{qq'}y^{qq'} \in J^{[qq']} + Rz^{lqq'}y^{qq'}$, and hence that

$$cr^{q'}z^{lqq'}u^{q'} \in J^{[qq']} + Rz^{lqq'}y^{qq'}.$$

Factoring out the common $z^{lqq'}$ we obtain that $cr^{q'}u^{q'} - t_{qq'}y^{qq'} \in (J^{[qq']})^{\text{sat}}$ for some element $t_{qq'}$. By choice of N it then holds that $v^{q'}(cr^{q'}u^{q'} - t_{qq'}y^{qq'}) \in (J^{[qq']})^*$ and hence there exists an element $d \in R^o$ such that $dv^{q'}(cr^{q'}u^{q'} - t_{qq'}y^{qq'}) \in J^{[qq']}$. This holds for all large q'. From this equation, $cd(ruv)^{q'} \in J^{[qq']} + Ry^{qq'}$ which forces $ruv \in (I^{[q]})^*$. This proves our claim.

By Lemma 2.2 there exists a constant L such that $R_{\geq (N+N'+e+L)q}((I^{[q]})^*)^{\text{sat}} \subseteq (I^{[q]})^*$ for all q which proves (2) and finishes the proof of the Theorem. □

3. Application to the Localization of Tight Closure

In order to apply the main theorem of the above section, one needs to find an ideal J satisfying either (LC) or (LC^*) to begin an induction. One such class is given in our first proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $(R, \mathbf{m})$ be a Noetherian graded equidimensional ring of dimension n over a field $R_0 = K$ of positive characteristic p. Let $J = (x_1, ..., x_{n-1})$ be a homogeneous ideal generated by homogeneous parameters x_i (so that the height of J is $n-1$). Then J satisfies both (LC) and (LC^*).*

Proof. The fact that J satisfies (LC^*) comes from the fact that $(J^{[q]})^*$ is unmixed (see [AHH,(5.20)]). For the second, choose a form z general. Set $x_n = z$. Consider the the Koszul homology $H_1(x_1, ..., x_n; R)$. Since this homology is killed by the $\mathbf{m}$-primary ideal generated by the x_i, it has finite length. This module is graded. If $(r_1, ..., r_n)$ represents a homogeneous element of degree N in $H_1(x_1, ..., x_n; R)$ (so that $\sum r_i x_i = 0$), then $\deg(r_i) + d_i = N$, where $\deg(x_i) = d_i$. Since the module has finite length, there is an integer N such that $[H_1(x_1, ..., x_n; R)]_i = 0$ for all $i \geq N$. Using [AH, Proposition 4.10] we obtain that for all $q = p^e$ and for all l,

$$[H_1(x_1^q, ..., x_{n-1}^q, x_n^{lq}; R)]_i = 0$$

for all $i \geq N + qD + lqd_n$, where $D = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d_i$.

Let $r \in (J^{[q]})^{sat}$ be homogeneous of degree M. For some $l >> 0$, $x_n^{lq} r \in J^{[q]}$; the power l a priori depends upon q. If we write $x_n^{lq} r = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} r_i x_i^q$, with each term homogeneous of the same degree, then the element $(r_1, ..., r_{n-1}, -r)$ represents an element of $H_1(x_1^q, ..., , x_{n-1}^q, x_n^{lq}; R)$ of degree $M + lqd_n$. Suppose that $M \geq N + qD$. Then this element is trivial in $H_1(x_1^q, ..., , x_{n-1}^q, x_n^{lq}; R)$ and this implies that $r \in J^{[q]}$. It follows that $R_{\geq (D+N)q} \cdot r \subseteq J^{[q]}$, which finishes the proof of the Proposition. $\square$

Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 2.3 have several interesting corollaries. The first is due to Adela Vraciu [V] using very different methods:

Corollary 3.2. *Let $(R, \mathbf{m})$ be a Noetherian graded ring over a field $R_0 = K$ of positive characteristic p. Let I be a homogeneous ideal with $dim(R/I) = 1$.*

1. *If R is equidimensional, then I satisfies both $(LC)^*$ and (LC).*
2. *$(I^*)_W = (I_W)^*$ for every multiplicatively closed set W of R.*

Proof. We use induction on $n = \mu(I)$. If $n = \text{height}(I)$, then I is generated by part of a system of parameters. In this case Proposition 3.1 gives that I satisfies both conditions. If $n > \text{height}(I)$ we can choose minimal generators $y_1, ..., y_{n-1}, y_n = y$ for I such that the height of $J = (y_1, ..., y_{n-1})$ is still height(I). In this case J and y satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2.3 and since by induction J satisfies both $(LC)^*$ and (LC), so does I.

To prove the last statement, we may reduce to the case in which R is a domain by [AHH, (3.8)]. Assume that the last statement does not hold. If after moding out by a minimal prime of R the ideal I becomes $\mathbf{m}$-primary, we are done by [HH1, (4.14)]. Henceforth we assume this is not the case. From [AHH, (3.5)] it follows that for some prime ideal P containing I, $(I_P)^* \neq (I^*)_P$. First assume that P is minimal over I. Choose an element $t \notin P$ such that t is in every other minimal primary component of I. Suppose that $x \in (I_P)^*$. By Remark 1.3, one can find a homogeneous element $c \in R^o$ and elements $w_q \notin P$ such that $cw_q x^q \in I^{[q]}$ for all q. The ideal $I^{[q]} : cx^q t^q$ is then $\mathbf{m}$-primary since it is homogeneous and not contained in any minimal prime of $I^{[q]}$. Hence $cx^q t^q \in (I^{[q]})^{\text{sat}}$ for all q. Since I satisfies condition (LC) there is an N with $R_{\geq Nq}(cx^q t^q) \subseteq I^{[q]}$ and we may assume by increasing N that $R_N \nsubseteq P$. Choosing $u \in R_N, u \notin P$ then gives $c(uxt)^q \in I^{[q]}$ for all q which forces $utx \in I^*$. But then $x \in (I^*)_P$ as required.

Next suppose that P is a maximal ideal of R which is not the irrelevant ideal. Let $P_1, ..., P_k$ be the minimal primes of I contained in P and let $Q_1, ..., Q_l$ be the minimal primes of I which are not contained in P. Choose $t \notin P$ homogeneous such that $t \in I_{Q_i}$ for all $i = 1, ..., l$. This is possible by the definition of the Q_i. Let $z \in (I_P)^*$. Using (1.3) there exist elements $w_q \notin P$ and a homogeneous $c \neq 0$ such that $cw_q z^q \in I^{[q]}$ for all q. Consider the ideal $(I^{[q]} : c(tz)^q)$. This ideal contains w_q and also contains a power of $P_1 \cap ... \cap P_k$. It follows that this ideal is not contained in any of the minimal primes over I and so its radical is an intersection of maximal ideals $\mathbf{m}, M_1, ..., M_l$. There exists an integer $N(q)$ such that $(\mathbf{m}M_1M_2...M_l)^{N(q)} c(tz)^q \subseteq I^{[q]}$. But $I^{[q]}$ is homogeneous and all its associated primes are homogeneous. Thus $M_1M_2...M_l$ is not contained in an arbitrary associated prime of $I^{[q]}$ which forces $\mathbf{m}^{N(q)} c(tz)^q \subseteq I^{[q]}$. Hence $c(tz)^q \in (I^{[q]})^{\text{sat}}$ and there

exists a constant N such that $R_{\geq Nq}(c(tz)^q) \in I^{[q]}$ and we may choose N large enough so that $R_N \not\subseteq P$. Choose an element $u \in R_N$ such that $u \notin P$. Then $u^q \in (I^{[q]} : c(tz)^q)$ so that $c(utz)^q \in I^{[q]}$. It follows that $z \in (I^*)_P$ as $ut \notin P$.

Finally suppose that $P = \mathbf{m}$. Since every element not in $\mathbf{m}$ is a nonzerodivisor on $I^{[q]}$, it easily follows that $(I^*)_{\mathbf{m}} = (I_{\mathbf{m}})^*$ (see [AHH, (3.5c)]. $\square$

It is well-known to experts that (3.2) gives the implication 'R is weakly F-regular' $\Longrightarrow$ 'R is F-regular'. We sketch a proof here. Recall weakly F-regular means all ideals are tightly closed, while F-regular means all ideals are tightly closed in every localization. Choosing an ideal I maximal in R and homogeneous such that I_W is not tightly closed in some localization, one can easily prove that I must be an irreducible ideal with the dimension of R/I equal to one. This uses that all ideals are tightly closed in R. Then apply the last statement of (3.2). Murthy [Hu1, (12.2)] has shown that weakly F-regular rings which are finitely generated over an uncountable field of positive characteristic are F-regular. Recently, Lyubeznik and Smith [LS] have shown the stronger implication that graded weakly F-regular rings are even strongly F-regular.

To extend Corollary 3.2 to ideals of smaller height, we need a condition to transfer the two conditions $(LC)^*$ and (LC) from one ideal to one of lower height. This is possible in some cases:

Proposition 3.3. *Let R be an equidimensional Noetherian graded ring over a field R_0 of characteristic $p > 0$ with irrelevant ideal $\mathbf{m}$. Let I be an ideal and assume that I_P is generated by $g = height(I)$ elements for every minimal prime P of I of height g. Let $x_1, ..., x_g$ be arbitrary set of g elements in I such that $height(\underline{x}) = g$ and $(\underline{x})_P = I_P$ for every minimal prime P of I of height g.*

1. *If (LC^*) holds for the ideal $J = (\underline{x} : I) + I$, then it holds for I.*
2. *If (LC) holds for $J = (\underline{x} : I) + I$ then (LC) also holds for I if in addition R is Cohen-Macaulay.*

Before beginning the proof, we need a crucial lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *Let R, I and $\underline{x}$ be as above.*

1. $(\underline{x}^{[q]} : I^{[q]}) \cap ((I^{[q]})^*)^{\mathrm{sat}} \subseteq (\underline{x}^{[q]})^*$.
2. *If R is Cohen-Macaulay then* $(\underline{x}^{[q]} : I^{[q]}) \cap (I^{[q]})^{\mathrm{sat}} = (\underline{x}^{[q]})$.

Proof. We first prove (1). Let $u \in (\underline{x}^{[q]} : I^{[q]}) \cap ((I^{[q]})^*)^{\mathrm{sat}}$ and choose $w \in \mathbf{m}$ a general form of sufficiently high degree so that $wu \in (\underline{x}^{[q]} : I^{[q]}) \cap (I^{[q]})^*$. Then there exists an element $c \in R^o$ such that for all large q', $c(wu)^{q'} \in (\underline{x}^{[q]} : I^{[q]})^{[q']} \cap I^{[qq']}$. As $(\underline{x}^{[q]} : I^{[q]})^{[q']} \subseteq (\underline{x}^{[qq']} : I^{[qq']})$ we obtain that $c(wu)^{q'}((\underline{x}^{[qq']} : I^{[qq']}) + I^{[qq']}) \subseteq \underline{x}^{[qq']}$. By [AHH, (5.20)] the ideal $(\underline{x}^{[qq']})^*$ is unmixed; every associated prime has height g. Since $(\underline{x})_P = I_P$ for every minimal prime of I of height g, the ideal $((\underline{x}^{[qq']} : I^{[qq']}) + I^{[qq']})$ has height at least $g + 1$. Then we must have that $c(wu)^{q'} \in (\underline{x}^{[qq']})^*$. It follows that $wu \in (\underline{x}^{[q]})^*$, and then the general choice of w gives that $u \in (\underline{x}^{[q]})^*$ as required.

The proof of (2) is similar but easier. If R is Cohen-Macaulay, then $(\underline{x}^{[q]})$ is unmixed and the desired equality follows immediately from checking it after localization at the minimal primes above $\underline{x}$.

Proof of (3.3). Let I and J be as in (3.3), and assume that $r \in ((I^{[q]})^*)^{\rm sat}$ in case (1) and in $(I^{[q]})^{\rm sat}$ in case (2). Fix an integer N such that in case (1), $m^{Nq}((J^{[q]})^*)^{\rm sat} \subseteq (J^{[q]})^*$, and in case (2), $m^{Nq}((J^{[q]}))^{\rm sat} \subseteq J^{[q]}$.

In case (1) we know that

$$m^{Nq}r \subseteq (J^{[q]})^* \cap ((I^{[q]})^*)^{\rm sat},$$

while in case (2),

$$m^{Nq}r \subseteq J^{[q]} \cap (I^{[q]})^{\rm sat}.$$

Case (2) follows immediately from Lemma 3.4.2 since $J^{[q]} \cap (I^{[q]})^{\rm sat} \subseteq (\underline{x} : I)^{[q]} \cap (I^{[q]})^{\rm sat} + I^{[q]} \subseteq (\underline{x}^{[q]} : I^{[q]}) \cap (I^{[q]})^{\rm sat} + I^{[q]} = I^{[q]}$ by (3.4.2).

To prove case (1), choose z an arbitrary element of $\mathbf{m}^N$. Then for all q, $z^q r \in (J^{[q]})^*$, and so for all q',

$$cz^{qq'}r^{q'} \in (\underline{x} : I)^{[qq']} + I^{[qq']}.$$

As $r \in ((I^{[q]})^*)^{\rm sat}$, there is a power $N(q)$ of $\mathbf{m}$ such that $\mathbf{m}^{N(q)}r \subseteq (I^{[q]})^*$, which implies that for all q', $c(\mathbf{m}^{N(q)})^{[q']}r^{q'} \subseteq I^{[qq']}$, i.e. $cr^{q'} \in (I^{[qq']})^{\rm sat}$. Putting this together with the displayed containment above, we see that

$$cz^{qq'}r^{q'} \in (\underline{x} : I)^{[qq']} \cap (I^{[qq']})^{\rm sat} + I^{[qq']}.$$

Hence

$$cz^{qq'}r^{q'} \in (\underline{x}^{[qq']} : I^{[qq']}) \cap (I^{[qq']})^{\rm sat} + I^{[qq']} \subseteq (I^{[qq']})^*$$

by Lemma 3.4.2. This equation holds for all q', proving that $z^q r \in (I^{[q]})^*$. This means that $(\mathbf{m}^N)^{[q]}r \subseteq (I^{[q]})^*$. If the number of generators of $\mathbf{m}^N$ is l, then it follows that $\mathbf{m}^{Nlq}r \subseteq (I^{[q]})^*$. As r was arbitrary in $((I^{[q]})^*)^{\rm sat}$ we have proved that $\mathbf{m}^{Nlq}((I^{[q]})^*)^{\rm sat}) \subseteq (I^{[q]})^*$, proving (1). $\square$

In a similar vein we can prove:

Proposition 3.5. *Let R be an equidimensional Noetherian graded ring over a field R_0 of characteristic $p > 0$ with irrelevant ideal $\mathbf{m}$. Let I be a homogeneous ideal and assume that I_P is generated by $g = height(I)$ elements for every minimal prime P of I of height g. Let $x_1, ..., x_g$ be arbitrary set of g homogeneous elements in I such that height$(\underline{x}) = g$ and $(\underline{x})_P = I_P$ for every minimal prime P of I of height g. Set $J = (\underline{x} : I) + I$. If $(J^*)_W = (J_W)^*$ for all multiplicatively closed sets W in R, then $(I^*)_W = (I_W)^*$ for all multiplicatively closed sets W in R.*

Proof. It is enough to prove this proposition for multiplicatively closed sets of the form $W = R - Q$, where Q is a prime ideal containing I (see [AHH, (3.5)]). Let $z \in R$ be such that $z \in (I_Q)^*$. If $(\underline{x} : I) \nsubseteq Q$, then $z \in (\underline{x}_Q)^* = (\underline{x}^*)_Q$ [AHH,(8.1)] and so $z \in (I^*)_Q$ as

required. Hence we may assume that $J \subseteq Q$. Then $z \in (J_Q)^* = (J^*)_Q$. By multiplying z by an appropriate element not in Q we may assume that $z \in J^*$. Let $\{P_1, ..., P_k\}$ be the minimal primes of $\underline{x}$ which are contained in Q, and let $\{Q_1, ..., Q_l\}$ be the minimal primes of $\underline{x}$ not contained in Q. We can choose an element $t \in Q_1 \cap ... \cap Q_l$, $t \notin Q$ such that t is in $(\underline{x})_{Q_i}$ for all i.

Since $z \in J^*$, there exists an element $c \in R^o$ such that $cz^q \in (\underline{x} : I)^{[q]} + I^{[q]}$ for all large q. Write $cz^q = a_q + b_q$ with $a_q \in (\underline{x} : I)^{[q]}$ and $b_q \in I^{[q]}$. Since $z \in (I_Q)^*$, there exists elements $w_q \notin Q$ and $d \in R^o$ such that $dw_q z^q \in I^{[q]}$ for all $q >> 0$. Then $dw_q a_q \in (\underline{x} : I)^{[q]} \cap I^{[q]} \subseteq ((\underline{x})^{[q]})^*$ by (3.4.1). By [AHH,(5.20)] $((\underline{x})^{[q]})^*$ is unmixed. Since $w_q \notin Q$, it also holds that $w_q \notin P_j$ for all j and thus that $da_q \in (((\underline{x})^{[q]})^*)_{P_j}$ for all j. The choice of t then proves that $dt^q a_q \in (((\underline{x})^{[q]})^*)_P$ for every minimal prime P of $\underline{x}$. The unmixedness of $((\underline{x})^{[q]})^*$ then proves that $dt^q a_q \in ((\underline{x})^{[q]})^*$ for all $q >> 0$. Hence

$$cdt^q z^q = dt^q a_q + dt^q b_q \in ((\underline{x})^{[q]})^* + I^{[q]} \subseteq (I^{[q]})^*$$

for all $q >> 0$. It follows that $tz \in I^*$, and since $t \notin Q$, that $z \in (I^*)_Q$ as desired. □

Remark 3.6. Let R be graded over an infinite field R_0 and suppose that I is a homogeneous ideal, $P_1, ..., P_n$ are primes ideals and I_{P_j} is generated by g-elements for each P_j. Further assume that for all $P_j \neq \mathbf{m}$, $R_N \nsubseteq P_j$ for all $N >> 0$ (e.g. if $R = R_0[R_1]$). Then we can find g homogeneous elements $x_1, ..., x_g \in I$ such that $(x_1, ..., x_g)_{P_j} = I_{P_j}$ for every P_j.

To see this claim, write $I = (y_1, ..., y_k)$ with all y_i homogeneous. If one of the P_j is the irrelevant ideal $\mathbf{m}$, there is nothing to prove as I will be generated by g homogeneous elements. Suppose none of the P_j is $\mathbf{m}$. For all large N and all $1 \leq j \leq n$, $R_N \nsubseteq P_j$, so we may choose $z_j \notin P_1 \cup P_2 \cup ... \cup P_n$ homogeneous such that each $z_j y_j$ is homogeneous of the same degree, and we still have $I_{P_j} = (z_1 y_1, ..., z_k y_k)_{P_j}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. We have reduced to the case in which all y_i have the same degree. But now any g general linear combinations of the generators with field elements will generate I locally at each P_j, and the resulting elements will be homogeneous.

Corollary 3.7. *Let R be an equidimensional Noetherian graded ring over an infinite field R_0 of characteristic $p > 0$ with irrelevant ideal $\mathbf{m}$. Let I be an ideal with $dim(R/I) = 2$ and assume that I_P is generated by $g = height(I)$ elements for every minimal prime P of I of height g. Assume that $R_N \nsubseteq P$ for all minimal primes P containing I with $dim(R/P) = 2$ and all $N >> 0$ (e.g. if $R = R_0[R_1]$). Then I satisfies (LC^*). Furthermore, $(I^*)_W = (I_W)^*$ for every multiplicatively closed set W in R.*

Proof. Let $x_1, ..., x_g$ be arbitrary set of g homogeneous elements in I such that $\text{height}(\underline{x}) = g$ and $(\underline{x})_P = I_P$ for every minimal prime P of I of height g. Such elements exist using Remark 3.6. Set $J = (\underline{x} : I) + I$. Using (3.3.1) it suffices to prove that J satisfies (LC^*). But $\dim(R/J) < \dim(R/I)$ and hence $\dim(R/J) \leq 1$. Corollary 3.2 gives us that $(J^*)_W = (J_W)^*$ for every multiplicatively closed set W and J satisfies (LC^*). Then Proposition 3.5 proves that $(I^*)_W = (I_W)^*$ for every multiplicatively closed set W and I satisfies (LC^*). □

We can generalize Corollary 3.7 to ideals of smaller height, but to do so we need to assume the base ring is Cohen-Macaulay:

Corollary 3.8. *Let $(R, \mathbf{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay Noetherian graded ring over an infinite field $R_0 = K$ of positive characteristic p. Assume that $R = R_0[R_1]$. Let I be a homogeneous ideal such that I_P is generated by $g = height(I)$ elements for every homogeneous prime ideal P such that $dim(R/P) \geq 2$. Then I satisfies (LC^*) and (LC). Furthermore, $(I^*)_W = (I_W)^*$ for every multiplicatively closed set W in R.*

Proof. We use induction on $\dim(R/I)$ to prove the first two statements. If $\dim(R/I) \leq 1$, Corollary 3.2 gives the result. Henceforth we assume $\dim(R/I) \geq 2$. Then I_P is generated by $g = \text{height}(I)$ for every minimal prime P of I of height g. Choose $\underline{x}$ as in Proposition 3.3, using Remark 3.6. Using this proposition we see that it suffices to prove that $J = (\underline{x} : I) + I$ satisfies (LC^*) or (LC) respectively. But our assumptions on I prove that $\dim(R/J) < \dim(R/I)$. Then we are done using induction provided we prove that J_Q is generated by $g + 1 = \text{height}(J)$ elements for every prime Q of J such that $\dim(R/Q) \geq 2$. Let Q contain J. If $\dim(R/Q) \geq 2$, we know that I_Q is generated by g elements, say $y_1, ..., y_g$, which we may assume are homogeneous by Remark 3.6. But in R_Q we can then calculate J_Q as the image of $\underline{x} : \underline{y} + (\underline{y})$, and this is simply $(\underline{y}) + R\Delta$ where Δ is the determinant of the g by g matrix over R_Q expressing the x_i in terms of y_i. Hence J_Q is generated by $g + 1$ elements. The induction finishes the proof.

To prove $(I^*)_W = (I_W)^*$ for every multiplicatively closed set W in R, we also use induction on $\dim(R/I)$. If $\dim(R/I) \leq 1$, we can use Corollary 3.2. Define J as in the above paragraph. By the work above, $\dim(R/J) < \dim(R/I)$, and J_Q is generated by $g + 1 = \text{height}(J)$ elements for every minimal prime Q of J such that $\dim(R/Q) \geq 2$. By induction, $(J^*)_W = (J_W)^*$ for every multiplicatively closed set W in R, and then by Proposition 3.5, we can conclude $(I^*)_W = (I_W)^*$ for every multiplicatively closed set W in R. □

Bibliography

[AHH] Aberbach, I., M. Hochster, and C. Huneke, *Localization of tight closure and modules of finite phantom projective dimension*, J. Reine Angew. Math. (Crelle's Journal) **434** (1993), 67-114.

[AH] I. Aberbach and C. Huneke, *An improved Briançon-Skoda theorem with applications to the Cohen-Macaulayness of Rees rings*, Math. Ann. **297** (1993), 343–369.

[Br] Bruns, W., *Tight closure*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **33** (1996), 447–458.

[HS] R. Hartshorne and R. Speiser, *Local cohomological dimension in characteristic p*, Annals of Math. **105** (1977), 45–79.

[Ha] N. Hara, *A Frobenius characterization of rational singularities*, Amer. J. Math. (1998).

[HaW] Hara, N. and Watanabe, K-i., *The injectivity of Frobenius acting on cohomology and local cohomology modules*, Manu. Math. **90** (1996), 301–316.

[HH1] M. Hochster and C. Huneke, *Tight closure, invariant theory, and the Briançon-Skoda theorem*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), 31–116.

[HH2] ———, *F-regularity, test elements, and smooth base change*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **346** (1994), 1–62.

[HH3] ———, *Tight closure of parameter ideals and splitting in module-finite extensions*, J. Algebraic Geometry **3** (1994), 599–670.

[Hu1] C. Huneke, *Tight Closure and its Applications*, CBMS Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 88, American Math. Soc., Providence, 1996.

[Hu2] ———, *On the localization problem in tight closure*, in preparation.

[Ka1] Katzman, M., *Finiteness of* $\cup_e Ass F^e(M)$ *and its connections to tight closure*, Illinois J. Math. **40** (1996), 330–337.

[Ka2] ———, *Finite criteria for weak F-regularity*, Illinois J. Math. **40** (1996), 453–463.

[LS] G. Lyubeznik and K. Smith, *Strong and weak F-regularity are equivalent for graded rings*, preprint 1998.

[MS] V. Mehta and V. Srinivas, *A characterization of rational singularities*, Asian Journal of Mathematics **1** (1997), 249–271.

[Sm1] K.E. Smith, *An introduction to tight closure*, preprint 1998.

[Sm2] ———, *F-rational rings have rational singularities*, Amer. J. Math. **119** (1997), 159–180.

[V] Adela Vraciu, Local cohomology of Frobenius images over graded algebras, preprint.

[Wa1] K.-I. Watanabe, *F-regular and F-pure normal graded rings*, J. Pure Applied Algebra **71** (1991), 341–350.

[Wa2] ———, *F-regular and F-pure rings vs. log-terminal and log-canonical singularities*, preprint.

Department of Mathematics, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS 66045
E-mail address: huneke@math.ukans.edu